

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/778,030	02/17/2004	Hiroshi Hamasaki	249025US2SRD	6187
22850	7590 04/11/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			VIGUSHIN, JOHN B	
	RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT I	
			2841	()
		DATE MAILED: 04/11/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
Office Action Summan.	10/778,030	HAMASAKI ET A	L.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	I AM
·	John B. Vigushin	2841	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO . cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this of	
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Fe	ebruary 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims		•	?
4) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.		•	
4a) Of the above claim(s)is/are withdray	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		. •	
8) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	*	-	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			FR 1 121(d)
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	priority and a 25 H C O	2.440(-) (-1) (0	•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	phonty under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(a) or (t).	
<u> </u>	. h h		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior		received in this National	Stage
application from the International Bureau			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.	
			•
4 Attachmont/s			,
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	. —	nformal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:		,
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)  Office Act	tion Summary	Part of Paper No./M	lail Date 0406

Art Unit: 2841

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-12, drawn to LSI package arranged on a mounting board, classified in class 257, subclass 707.
  - II. Claims 13-14, drawn to a method of assembling a LSI package on a mounting board, classified in class 438, subclass 122.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process wherein the steps of (i) inserting a heat conductive material into a clearance between a heat sink and heat dissipating surface of the LSI and (ii) pushing the heat conductive material layer to have an appropriate thickness are omitted.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4 A tolophono goll was r

4. A telephone call was made to Applicant's Attorney, Joseph Scafetta, Jr., on April 04, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John B. Vigushin whose telephone number is 571-272-1936. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM Mo-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John B. Vigushin Primary Examiner Art Unit 2841

jbv April 04, 2006